

Board of Civil Authority Hearing  
Tuesday, September 9, 2025  
Akeley Memorial Hall

BCA Members present were: : Nick Donza, Francis “Paco” Aumand, Jan Reynolds, Leighton Detora, Mary Black, Charles Lusk, Pall Spera, Liz Lackey, Lynn LaFleur and Penny Davis

Leighton Detora called the meeting to order

**Agenda Changes/Additions**

There were no changes to the agenda.

**Review/Approve Minutes**

Leighton asked if everyone had a chance to read the minutes and if there were any changes. Hearing none the August 7, 2025 minutes were approved.

**Biennial Checklist Review**

In the board packet, the board received two lists. One is the challenged, no reply, no vote list. Penny stated that there are 442 voters that had already been challenged, had not replied and had not voted in the past two elections. We need a motion to purge them. Mary Black made the motion, Charles Lusk seconded with all in favor to purge the 442 voters.

The other list is a list of voters that the Board of Civil Authority believe should be challenged. Many members reviewed the voter checklist and indicated voters they believe should be challenged. Also marked were voters whose General Election ballots mailed ballots that were returned by the United States Post Office and the voters didn't vote in the General Election.

There was much discussion regarding different voters and whether they could be removed or added to the challenged list.

A motion was made by Charles Lusk and seconded by Francis “Paco” Aumand and all in favor to remove Kay Barrett from the challenge list and add Kobe Hogan and Matthew Krauss. (Note: Kobe Hogan and Matthew Krauss were already on the challenged list of 312 voters and therefore did not need to be added)

Discussion then ensued regarding Hilary Spera and whether she was still being challenged or being removed from the list. Francis “Paco” Aumand made the motion, Charles Lusk seconded and all in favor to remove Hilary Spera from the challenged list.

**Multi-District Checklist Review**

The legislature requires us to do a multi-district review of the checklist. We could do a sample audit or we could do a full audit of the checklist. The entire list of active voters were reviewed, using the street

list, the district map and what was entered in the Vermont Election Management System. We had one voter that was in the Lamoille-Washington District that should have been in the Lamoille 1 District. We also found one voter that was in the Lamoille 1 District that should have been in the Lamoille-Washington District. They have since been put in the proper district. We are not sure why they weren't in the right district because the street address that was listed should have put them in the correct district. However, when Penny went in to change them it said road not found. Discussion then ensued regarding some areas are a little tricky with parts of streets being in one district and parts of streets being in another district.

The motion was made by Liz Lackey and seconded by Mary Black and all in favor to notify the proper authorities that the Multi-District checklist was reviewed and one correction in each District was done.

### **Other Business**

Leighton asked the Board if anyone had any concerns or problems with the voting procedures in Town or have you heard of any complaints regarding the voting procedures or registration that would affect the right for people to vote or the facets of the election. We are charged with overseeing the elections and if we know of any now is the time to bring them up. There were none.

The meeting was adjourned.

Respectfully submitted,

Penny A. Davis